## 2012-2013 Report Card for <br> Licking Valley Local School District

## DISTRICT GRADE <br> Coming in 2015



## Achievement

This grade combines two results for students who took the state tests. The first result answers the question - How many students passed the state test? The second result answers the question - How well did students do on the state test?

Annual Measurable Objectives
56.7\%


## K-3 Literacy

This grade answers the question - Are more students learning to read in kindergarten through third grade? The 2014 report card will report some results. The
2015 report card will display one grade for kindergarten through grade 3

## COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in
2015
82.3\%ndicators Met

$$
91.7 \%
$$

## Gap Closing

This grade shows how well all students are doing in your district in reading, math, and graduation. It answers the question - Is every student succeeding, regardless of income, race, culture or disability?



## Progress

This is your district's average progress for its students in math and reading, grades 4-8. It looks at how much each student learns in a year. Did the students get a year's worth of growth? Did they get more? Did they get less?

## Value-Added


#### Abstract

Overall...


Gifted...


COMPONENT GRADE
Coming in 2015


## Graduation Rate

This grade answers the question - How many ninth graders graduate in four years or five years?

## COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in 2015

## Graduation Rates

$90.1 \%$ of students graduated in 4 years B
$91.1 \%$ of students graduated in 5 years


Prepared for Success
This grade answers the question - Are students who graduate from our district ready for college or a career? There are six ways to show that graduates are prepared. In the 2014 school year, the report card will show results for the six areas The 2015 report card will display one grade combining the results of all six ways of showing graduates are prepared

## COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in 2015
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## Achievement



This grade combines two results for students who took the state tests. The first result answers the question - How many students passed the state test? The second result answers the question - How well did students do on the state test?

## COMPONENT GRADE

## Coming in

2015

## GRADE

Performance I ndex
The Performance Index measures the test results of every student, not just those who score proficient or higher. There are six levels on the index and districts receive points for every student in each of these levels. The higher the achievement level the more the points awarded in the district's index. This rewards schools and districts for improving performance.

82.3\%
98.8 of a possible 120.0

$\mathrm{F}=0.0-49.9 \%$


- Advanced Plus
- Advanced

Accelarated

- Proficient
- Limited
- Basic
- Untested
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## 91.7\%

$=0.0-49.9 \%$
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Proficiency Percent Comparison by Grade

3rd Grade


4th Grade


5th Grade


Proficient Percent Trend by Grade

3rd Grade


4th Grade


5th Grade
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7th Grade


8th Grade


6th Grade


7th Grade


8th Grade
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10th Grade OGT


11th Grade Cumulative OGT


10th Grade OGT


11th Grade Cumulative OGT
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## Progress



This is your district's average progress for its students in math and reading, grades 4-8. It looks at how much each student learns in a year. It answers the question - Did the students get a year's worth of growth? Did they get more? Did they get less?


Overall
This measures the progress for all students in math and reading, grades 4-8.

Gifted Students
This measures the progress for students identified as gifted in reading, math, and/or superior cognitive ability.

Students in the Lowest 20\% in Achievement This measures the progress for students identified as the lowest $20 \%$ statewide in reading and math achievement

GRADE

## Students with Disabilities

This measures the progress for students with disabilities.

High School
A High School measure of progress will be implemented in the 2015-16 school year.

## Progress Details

This table shows the Progress scores by test grade and subject.

| Test Grade | Progress Score |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Mathematics | All Tests |
| All Grades | -6.0 | 1.9 | -2.9 |
| 4th Grade | -1.8 | 2.9 | 0.6 |
| 5th Grade | -5.7 | -5.3 | -7.2 |
| 6th Grade | -2.4 | -5.1 | -4.8 |
| 7th Grade | 1.6 | 7.4 | 5.7 |
| 8th Grade | -1.0 | 3.9 | 1.7 |

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Although Progress scores are not } & A=2.0 \text { and up } \\ \text { assigned letter grades at this level of } & B==1.0 \text { to } 1.9 \\ \text { detail, the grading scale applied at the } & C=-1.0 \text { to } 0.9 \\ \text { Overall (All Students, All Tests) level is: } & \mathrm{D}= & -2.0 \text { to }-1.1 \\ \text { below }-2.0\end{array}$

Progress vs. Performance I ndex

This bubble chart shows the relationship between each subgroup's performance index results (horizontal axis) to the Value-Added letter grade (vertical axis). The size of the bubble represents the size of the student subgroup.
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## Gap Closing



This grade shows how well all students are doing in your district in reading, math, and graduation. It answers the question - Is every reading, math, and graduation. It answers the question - Is every

## COMPONENT GRADE <br> Coming in 2015


56.7\%
$A=90.0-100.0 \%$ $\mathrm{B}=80.0-89.9 \%$ $C=70.0-79.9 \%$ $D=60.0-69.9 \%$ $\mathrm{F}=0.0-59.9 \%$

## Annual Measurable Objectives

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) compare the performance of all students to a state goal which is displayed as the red line in the following charts. These charts show how well each group achieves that goal in reading, math and graduation - and emphasize any achievement gaps that exist between groups. The ultimate goal is for all groups to achieve at high levels.


The red line on each graph identifies the Annual Measurable Objective. The 2013 AMO for Reading is $83.4 \%$, for Math is $78.5 \%$, and for Graduation Rate is $75.9 \%$. Subgroups with fewer than 30 students are not rated and do not appear on the graphs.

## 2012-2013 Report Card for Licking Valley Local School District

## Graduation Rate



This grade represents the percentage of students whom entered the 9 th grade and graduated 4 and 5 years later.

## COMPONENT GRADE

## Coming in 2015

## 4-Year Graduation Rate

The 4 -year graduation rate applies to the Class of 2012 who graduated within four years, i.e. students who entered the 9th grade in 2009 and graduated by 2012.


## 5-Year Graduation Rate

The 5 -year graduation rate applies to the Class of 2011 who graduated within five years, i.e. students who entered the 9th grade in 2008 and graduated by 2012

## GRADE <br> 91.1\%

B $A=95.0-100.0 \%$
$B=90.0-9.9 \%$
$C=85.0-89.9 \%$
$D=80.0-8.9 .9 \%$
$F=0.0-79.9 \%$


4-Year Rate


District

- State Average
- Similar Districts

5-Year Rate


## Graduation Rate Trend



Note: The 5-year graduation rate does not appear in the final year of this graph because the necessary data is not yet available to calculate the 5 -year rate for that school year.
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Superintendent: David L. Hile
Address: 1379 Licking Valley Rd Newark OH 43055-9450

Directory information current as of the 2012-2013 Report Card publication date

## Your District's Students

| Average <br> Daily <br> Enrollment: | Enrollment by Subgroup |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |



Enrollments of less than 10 students are not shown.
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## Mobility Rates by Subgroup

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | District Mobility \% |
| All Students | $8.2 \%$ |
| Am. Indian / Alaskan Native | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ |
| Black, Non-Hispanic | $40.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $16.7 \%$ |
| Multiracial | $20.0 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | $7.7 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $8.2 \%$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $15.2 \%$ |
| Limited English Proficiency |  |
| Migrant |  |
|  |  |

$N C=$ Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group


This chart shows the percentage of students who, because they moved into or out of the district, did not spend a majority of the school year within the district.

## Your District's Teachers

|  | All Schools in the <br> District | High Poverty <br> Schools | Low Poverty <br> Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree | 99.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree | 59.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Percentage of core academic subject and elementary <br> classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | 0 | -- | -- |
| Percentage of core academic subject and elementary <br> classes taught by properly certified teachers | 99.1 | -- | -- |
| Percentage of core academic subject elementary and <br> secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, <br> conditional or long-term substitute certification/ licensure | 0 | -- | -- |

High-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile or in neither quartile.

$$
N C=\text { Not Calculated because there are fewer than } 10 \text { in the group }
$$

## Wellness and Physical Education

| The extent to which students are successful in <br> meeting the benchmarks contained in Ohio's <br> physical education standards | Compliance with the federal <br> requirement for implementing a <br> local wellness policy | Elected to <br> administer BMI <br> screening | Participation in <br> Physical Activity <br> Pilot Program |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moderate Success | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}$ |

## Financial Data



These measures answer several questions about spending and performance. How much is spent on Classroom instruction? How much, on average, is spent on each student? What is the source of the revenue? How do these measures compare to other districts and schools?

Comparison Group: Enrollment between 1000 and 2499

Classroom Spending Data

Percentage Spent on Classroom Instruction
60.2\%

Rank in comparison group for highest \% spent

272 out of 282

Spending per Pupil Data

|  | District | State |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Spending per Pupil | $\$ 8,137$ | $\$ 8,814$ |
| Classroom Instruction | $\$ 4,899$ | $\$ 5,953$ |
| Non-Classroom Spending | $\$ 3,238$ | $\$ 2,861$ |

## Spending and Performance

This measure answers the question - what is the relationship of average spending per student to performance, and how does that compare to similar districts and schools?

## Comparison Group



Spending per PupilLicking Valley Local IS NOT among the 20\% of traditional public districts with the highest academic performance index scores.

## All Districts



Spending per Pupil

X Licking Valley Local IS NOT among the 20\% of traditional public districts with the lowest operating expenditures per pupil

## Source of Revenue
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Superintendent: David L. Hile Address:

## County: Licking

## Your District's Schools



Licking Valley Elementary School
Licking Valley High School
Licking Valley Middle School

| Achievement |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Indicators <br> Met | Performance <br> Index |
| C | B |
| B | A |
| B | B |


| Progress |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | Gifted | Lowest <br> $20 \%$ <br> Achieve | Students <br> with <br> Disailitie |
| F | D | C | C |
| NR | NR | NR | NR |
| B | D | B | C |


| Gap Closing | Graduation Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual <br> Measurable <br> Objectives | 4-Year <br> Graduation <br> Rate | 5 -Year <br> Graduation <br> Rate |
| F | NR | NR |
| A | B | B |
| F | NR | NR |

